

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,540	12/20/2001	Satoshi Tanioka	50169-223	4907	
10211	590 02/20/2003 FT WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR			SADULA, JENNIFER R		
WASHINGTO	11, 20 2002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1756	5	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

٠.								
4		7	Application No.		Applicant(s)	1,00		
•			10/018,540		TANIOKA ET AL	-		
Office Action Summary		Ī	Examin r		Art Unit			
			Jennifer R. Sadula		1756	Idroop		
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply					Iaress		
THE M - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with ply received by the Office later than three months after that the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	A HON. 37 CFR 1.136(nication. days, a reply w utory period will	(a). In no event, however the statutory mining apply and will expire Secure to a secure the application to	ver, may a reply be tin mum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>20 De</u>	<u>ecember 2001</u> .					
2a)□			action is non-fi					
3)	— and the state of the ments is							
-	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar			ation.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are allowed.							
•	7) Claim(s) 7-22 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). :		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (t).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	s have been rec	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a) The translation of the foreign lart Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage pro	visional applicat	ion has been re	eceived.			
Attachme								
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	4) 5) . 6)	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper al Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/018,540

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/20/01 has been considered by the examiner. However, the examiner wishes to note that these references merely submitted in Japanese have only been considered on the merits of that which was in English and no more. Any reference without an English language translation, yet cited herein was fully considered on the merits of a translation available to the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to for failing to be in proper format. A claim must begin with a capital letter and end with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations (MPEP 608.01(m) and also see *Fressola v. Manbeck*, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995). Claim 1 fails to comply with this rule.

Claims 7-22 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiply dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-20 of copending Application No. 10/019,260. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Application/Control Number: 10/018,540

Art Unit: 1756

patentably distinct from each other because the breadth of one each set of claims encompasses that which the Applicants are attempting to cover- thereby creating duplication.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. This double patenting rejection may apply to claims 7-22 after such claims are properly connected to the parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu, U.S. Patent No. 5,907,005.

Shimizu teaches a varnish composition comprising polyamic acid components as specified in column 3, lines 50-59 and/or a soluble polyimide as specified. For purposes of this rejection, the Examiner has interpreted the polyimide to be present in Shimizu, however, Shimizu further teaches that it is also possible to apply the patented invention to a varnish of polyamic acid having the polyamic acid partially changed to imide (5:34-36). The concentration of polyamics is suitably 0.1 to 40%, thereby encompassing Applicants claims 2-3 and 5-6. Shimizu further teaches that the materials be tetracarboxylic dianhydrides as mentioned in column 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,540

Art Unit: 1756

for concentrations.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kimura et al.,

U.S. Patent No. 5,783,656, ("Kimura").

Kimura teaches polyamic acid polyimide liquid crystal alignment agents wherein the polyamic acids are obtainable by reacting diamine compounds of formula 1 with tetracarboxylic acid dianhydrides and the polyimides are obtainable by dehydration and subsequent ring closure of the polyamic acid (abstract). The specified materials are thus noted in formulas 22 and 23 wherein the examples all prove to be within the boundaries as set forth in Applicants claims 2-3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer R. Sadula whose telephone number is 703.305.4835. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 703.308.2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9310 for regular communications and 703.872.9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661.

JRS February 10, 2003 MARK F. HØFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4